UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,454 | 11/26/2003 | Jacek Budny | 10559-874001 / P17393 5960 | |
| 20985 7590 11/16/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ALROBAYE, IDRISS N | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2183 | |
| | | • | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | BUDNY ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/723,454 Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Laminer | | | | |
| | Idriss N. Alrobaye | 2183 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>24 April 2007</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | ₩ | | | |
| A phone call was made to Ido Rabinovitch on 11/13 affirmed the case was abandoned by the applicant. | 3/2007 to request the status of the | e applicaton. His assistant | | | |
| · | △ . <i>I</i> . | loè re | | | |
| LOC TOPE CHAN | | | | | |
| EDDIE CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2100 | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY CENTER 2100 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)